#### CIS 6930/4930 Computer and Network Security

#### **Topic 6.2 Authentication Protocols**

#### Authentication Handshakes

- Secure communication almost always includes an initial authentication handshake.
  - Authenticate each other
  - Establish session keys
  - This process is not trivial; flaws in this process undermine secure communication

#### Authentication with Shared Secret



- Weaknesses
  - Authentication is not mutual; Trudy can convince Alice that she is Bob
  - Trudy can hijack the conversation after the initial exchange
  - If the shared key is derived from a password, Trudy can mount an off-line password guessing attack

#### Authentication with Shared Secret (Cont'd)



#### Weaknesses

- Alice still cannot authenticate Bob
- Trudy can easily get pairs of (plaintext , ciphertext)
- Trudy can hijack the conversation after the initial exchange
- Other vulnerability?

#### Authentication with Public Key



- Weaknesses
  - Authentication is not mutual; Trudy can convince Alice that she is Bob
  - Trudy can hijack the conversation after the initial exchange
  - Trudy can trick Alice into signing something

# Authentication with Public Key (Cont'd)

Alice	I'm Alice $\{R\}_{Alice}$	Bob
	<i>R</i> ,	

#### A variation

What Bob can trick Alice to do?

#### **Mutual Authentication**



• Reflection attack





# Reflection Attacks (Cont'd)

- Lesson: Don't have Alice and Bob do exactly the same thing
  - Different keys
    - Totally different keys
    - K<sub>Alice-Bob</sub> = K<sub>Bob-Alice</sub> + 1
  - Different Challenges: Alice and Bob's challenges cannot be the same
  - The initiator should be the first to prove its identity
    - Assumption: initiator is more likely to be the bad guy



- Public keys
  - Authentication of public keys is a critical issue



- Mutual authentication with timestamps
  - Require synchronized clocks
  - Alice and Bob have to encrypt different timestamps

Alice	I'm Alice, $f(K_{Alice-Bob}, timestamp)$ $f(K_{Alice-Bob}, timestamp+1)$	Bob

# Integrity/Encryption for Data

- Communication after mutual authentication should be cryptographically protected as well
  - Require a session key established during mutual authentication

#### **Establishment of Session Keys**

- Secret key based authentication
  - Assume the following authentication happened.
  - Can we use  $K_{Alice-Bob}$  as the session key?
  - Can we use  $K_{Alice-Bob}$  {*R***+1**} as the session key?
  - Can we use  $K_{Alice-Bob}$ +1 {R} as the session key?
  - In general, modify  $K_{Alice-Bob}$  and encrypt R. Use the result as the session key.



#### **Establishment of Session Keys**

- Secret key based authentication
  - Can we use  $f(K_{Alice-Bob}, R_1)$ , or  $f(K_{Alice-Bob}, R_2)$  as the session key?
  - Can we use  $f(K_{Alice-Bob}, R_1+1)$ , or  $f(K_{Alice-Bob}, R_2+1)$  as the session key?
  - Can we use  $f(K_{Alice-Bob}+1, R_1)$ , or  $f(K_{Alice-Bob}+1, R_2)$  as the session key?



#### Two-Way Public Key Based Authentication

- Approach I
  - Alice chooses and encrypts  $\rm R_1$  with Bob's public key
  - Bob chooses and encrypts  $R_2$  with Alice's public key
  - Session key is  $R_1 \oplus R_2$
  - Trudy will have to compromise both Alice and Bob
- Approach II
  - Alice and Bob establish the session key with Diffie-Hellman key exchange
  - Alice and Bob signs the quantity they send

#### Establishment of Session Keys (Cont'd)

- One-way public key based authentication
  - It's only necessary to authenticate the server
  - Alice encrypts R with the server Bob's public key
  - Diffie-Hellman key exchange
    - Bob signs the D-H public key

## **Trusted Key Servers**

- How do a large number of users authenticate each other?
  - inefficient / impractical for every pair of users to negotiate a secret key or share passwords
- Alternative: everybody shares a key with (and authenticates to) a single trusted third party
- Assumes there is a way to negotiate a key with the *third party*

#### Trusted... (cont'd)

Shared keys between the Key Distribution
Center (KDC) and users



# (Simplified) Example of Use

- Alice wishes to communicate securely with Bob; Alice has previously negotiated K<sub>A-KDC</sub> with the KDC, Bob has negotiated K<sub>B-KDC</sub>
- 1. Alice requests from the KDC a session key to use with Bob
- 2. KDC generates session key  $K_s$ , sends to Alice, encrypted with  $K_{A-KDC}$
- 3. KDC also sends  $K_s$  to Bob, encrypted with  $K_{B-KDC}$
- Alice and Bob can then communicate using K<sub>s</sub>

#### Assessment

- Simplifies mutual authentication / key negotiation, but...
  - secure against attacks?
  - robust to failures?
  - efficient?

## A Hierarchy of KDCs

- For an Internet, not practical to have a single KDC
  - instead, imagine one KDC per *domain*
- To communicate securely with user in your own domain, just contact your domain's KDC
- To talk with user in another domain, your KDC needs to contact the other domain's KDC
  - KDCs must be able to authenticate each other and communicate securely

#### Hierarchy... (cont'd)



#### Mediated Authentication (With KDC)

KDC operation (in principle)



- Some concerns
  - Trudy may claim to be Alice and talk to KDC
    - Trudy cannot get anything useful
  - Messages encrypted by Alice using  $K_{AB}$  may arrive at Bob before KDC's message  $K_{Bob}\{K_{AB}\}$  arrive
  - It may be difficult for KDC to connect to Bob

#### Mediated Authentication (With KDC)

KDC operation (in practice)



- Must be followed by a mutual authentication exchange
  - To confirm that Alice and Bob have the same key

#### Needham-Schroeder Protocol

- Classic protocol for authentication with KDC
  - Many others have been modeled after it (e.g., Kerberos)



How is Bob authenticated? How is Alice authenticated? How is KDC authenticated? What are the N's used for? Why is N-1 needed?

#### Needham-Schroeder Protocol (Cont'd)

- A vulnerability
  - When Trudy gets a previous key K<sub>AB</sub> used by Alice, Trudy may reuse a previous ticket issued to Bob for Alice
  - Essential reason
    - The ticket to Bob stays valid even if Alice changes her key

#### **Expanded Needham-Schroeder Protocol**



#### **Otway-Rees Protocol**



- Only has five messages
- KDC checks if N<sub>c</sub> matches in both cipher-texts
  - Make sure that Bob is really Bob